Docket No.: 58071-CON/RCE (47126)

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Gregor Cevc

Application No.: 09/621,574 - Conf. No.: 5661

Art Unit: 1615

Filed: July 21, 2000

Examiner: G. S. Kishore

PREPARATION FOR THE APPLICATION OF AGENTS IN MINI-DROPLETS For:

MAIL STOP: AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patent P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.182 TO WITHDRAW EFFECT OF TERMINAL DISCLAIMER IN PRESENT APPLICATION

Applicants hereby Petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.182 to withdraw any effect of the terminal disclaimer filed in U.S.S.N. 07/844,664 on the present application (U.S.S.N. 09/621,574).

- On April 8, 1992, Applicant filed a United States National Application based on 1. PCTEP91/01596. The PCT application was filed on August 22, 1991 and claimed a priority date of August 24, 1990. The United States National Application received United States Serial Number 07/844,664 (hereinafter referred to as U.S.S.N. 07/844,664).
- 2. On June 25, 1992, U.S.S.N. 07/844,664 became abandoned under 37 CFR §1.494. While Applicant submitted 149 pages of translated specification, 10 pages of translated claims, and a translated abstract, Applicant inadvertently did not translate the graph headings in the drawings from German to English prior to June 25, 1992.
- 3. On August 20, 1992, the U.S.P.T.O. mailed Applicant's former counsel (hereinafter Merchant & Gould) a Notification of Abandonment.

Certificate of Express Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV654386399US, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Corprissioner for Patents, P / Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: July 20, 2005

(Lakeisha Bryant)

09621574 07/25/2005 WABDELR1 00000119 041105 Applicant: Gregor Cevc U.S.S.N. 09/621,574 Petition Under Rule 1.182 Page 2 of 5

- 4. Due to a docketing error, the Notification of Abandonment was not entered into the Merchant & Gould docketing system.
- 5. On December 9, 1994, the Merchant & Gould Docketing Department became aware of a problem with U.S.S.N. 07/844,664.
- 6. On February 15, 1995, Applicant filed a Petition under Rule 1.137(a) and/or 1.183 to revive U.S.S.N. 07/844,664. A Terminal Disclaimer was filed together with the Petition disclaiming "the terminal part of any patent granted on the above-identified application equal to the period of abandonment of this application."
- 7. On June 22, 1995, the Office of Petitions mailed a Decision On Petition in which Applicant's petition was dismissed due to inadequate showing of unavoidable delay. The Office of Petitions further asserted that the Terminal Disclaimer filed on February 15, 1995 was not in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.137 because it lacked a statement that "this terminal disclaimer applies to any patent granted on the above-identified application or on any application which is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the application under 35 U.S.C. 120."
- 8. According to 37 C.F.R. 1.137(d)(1) "Any petition to revive pursuant to this section in either a utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995, must be accompanied by a terminal disclaimer and fee as set forth in § 1.321". Further, according to 37 CFR §1.137(d)(2) "Any terminal disclaimer pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this section must also apply to any patent granted on a continuing utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995". Thus, the Office of Petitions was in error in asserting that the Terminal Disclaimer, according to 37 C.F.R. §1.137, required a statement that "this terminal disclaimer applies to any patent granted on the above-identified application or on any application which is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the application under 35 U.S.C. 120." Rather, according to 37 C.F.R. §1.137, the terminal disclaimer must only apply to any patent granted on a continuing utility application filed before June 8, 1995. However, this portion of the Rule was not taken into consideration by the Office, which, instead required that the terminal disclaimer apply to all continuing applications regardless of their filing date.

Applicant: Gregor Cevc U.S.S.N. 09/621,574 Petition Under Rule 1.182 Page 3 of 5

- 8. On October 23, 1995, Applicant filed a Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR §1.137(a) to revive U.S.S.N. 07/844,664. Applicant apparently did not recognize the Office's error in applying 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d)(2) and, thus, filed with their Renewed Petition, a new Terminal Disclaimer that included the wording required by the Office of Petitions.
- 9. On January 23, 1996, the Office of Petitions mailed a Decision On Petition in which Applicant's petition was dismissed because Applicant's Renewed Petition did not include an adequate verified showing of the cause of unavoidable delay and a terminal disclaimer and fee.
- 10. On April 23, 1996, Applicant filed a second Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR §1.137(a) to revive U.S.S.N. 07/844,664. Within the second Renewed Petition, Applicant provided further information regarding the unavoidable delay and noted that a terminal disclaimer and fee were, in fact, submitted with the October 23, 1995 petition.
- 11. On June 26, 1996, the Office of Petitions mailed a Decision granting Applicant's Petition. The Decision noted that the "Terminal Disclaimer filed on October 26, 1995 has been accepted."
- 12. On February 26, 1999, during the course of prosecution of U.S.S.N. 07/844,664, Applicants filed a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA). Because the CPA was filed after June 8, 1995, according to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d)(2), the previously filed terminal disclaimer should <u>not</u> have been required to apply to the continuing application.
- 13. On December 26, 2000, U.S.S.N. 07/844,664 issued as Patent Number 6,165,500. On the cover page of the patent, it is stated that "[t]his patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer."
- 14. On December 12, 2002, Applicant filed a petition requesting removal of the indication on Patent Number 6,165,500 that "[t]his patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer".
- 15. On December 22, 2003, the Office of Petitions mailed a Decision denying Applicant's petition to remove the terminal disclaimer from the issued patent. In the Decision, the Office stated that "the terminal disclaimer captioned for and accepted in prior application 07/844,664

Applicant: Gregor Cevc U.S.S.N. 09/621,574 Petition Under Rule 1.182 Page 4 of 5

automatically remained recorded against the CPA having the same application number." The Office further stated that "once a patent issues, the USPTO will not remove the effect of a recorded terminal disclaimer" and that "petitioner did not seek to mitigate the effect of the recorded terminal disclaimer during the pendency of the CPA."

16. On July 21, 2000, Applicant filed the present continuation application, which received U.S.S.N. 09/621,574 and a filing date of July 21, 2000.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Applicant requests that any effect of the Terminal Disclaimer filed for U.S.S.N. 07/844,664 on the present application (U.S.S.N. 09/621,574) be withdrawn. Applicant respectfully submits that the Office erroneously applied 37 C.F.R. §1.137 by requiring that Applicant amend their February 15, 1995 Terminal Disclaimer to broadly state that "this terminal disclaimer applies to * * * any application which is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the application under 35 U.S.C. 120." According to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d)(1), "[a]ny petition to revive pursuant to this section in either a utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995, must be accompanied by a terminal disclaimer." Further, according to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d)(2) "Any terminal disclaimer pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this section must also apply to any patent granted on a continuing utility or plant application filed before June 8, 1995". Thus, the requisite time period set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d)(2) was not properly taken into account.

The present continuation application U.S.S.N. 09/621,574 was filed on July 21, 2000. Because the filing date of U.S.S.N. 09/621,574 falls after the date set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d)(2), the Office should not have mandated that Terminal Disclaimer filed in U.S.S.N. 07/844,664 apply to "any application which is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the application under 35 U.S.C. 120." Rather, 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d)(2) requires only that such Terminal Disclaimers apply to continuing applications filed before June 8, 1995. This clearly is not the case with U.S.S.N. 09/621,574.

Applicant: Gregor Cevc U.S.S.N. 09/621,574 Petition Under Rule 1.182 Page 5 of 5

CONCLUSION

For at least the above reasons, Applicants urge that the October 23, 1995 Terminal Disclaimer, filed for U.S.S.N. 07/844,664, not apply to the present application.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith (or with any paper hereafter filed in this application by this firm) to our Deposit Account No. 04-1105, under Order No. 58071 (47126). A duplicate copy of this paper is enclosed.

If a telephone conversation with Applicants' attorney would expedite consideration and granting of this position, the Commissioner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Dated: July 20, 2005

Respectfully submitted

Lisa Swiszcz Hazzard

Registration No.: 44,368 Attorney for Applicants

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

P.O. Box 55874

Boston, Massachusetts 02205-5874

Tel. No.: (617) 517-5512 Fax No.: (617) 439-4170

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

8

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number 09/621,574-Conf. #5661 Filing Date July 21, 2000 First Named Inventor **Gregor Cevc** Art Unit 1615 Examiner Name G. S. Kishore Attorney Docket Number 58071-CON/RCE (47126)

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)				
X Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment/Reply	x Petition	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund	Return Receipt Postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)			
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Landscape Table on CD			
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks			
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	er			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm Name EDWARDS AN	GELL LLP			
Signature				
Printed name Lisa Swiszcz Haz	zard			
Date July 20, 2005	Reg. No.	44,368		

Certificate of Express Mai	iling respondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. EV654386399US,
	o: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1459, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date
Dated: July 20, 2005	Signature (Lakeisha Bryant)

PTO/SB/17p (11-04)
Approved for use through 7/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PETITION FEE Under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g) & (h) **TRANSMITTAL**

(Fees are subject to annual revision)

Send completed form to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Application Number	09/621,574-Conf. #5661
Filing Date	July 21, 2000
First Named Inventor	Gregor Cevc
Art Unit	1615
Examiner Name	G. S. Kishore
Attorney Docket Number	58071-CON/RCE (47126)

Enclosed is a petition filed under 37 CFR 1.182 that requires a processing fee (37 CFR 1.17(f)), (g), or (h)). Payment of \$ 400.00 is enclosed. This form should be included with the above-mentioned petition and faxed or mailed to the Office using the appropriate Mail Stop (e.g., Mail Stop Petition), if applicable. For transmittal of processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17(i), see form PTO/SB/17i.		
Payment of Fees (small entity amounts are NOT available for the petition fees).		
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following fees to Deposit Account No. 04-1105 :		
X Petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(f), (g) or (h) X Any deficiency of fees and credit of any overpayments		
Enclose a duplicative copy of this form for fee processing.		
Check in the amount of \$ is enclosed. Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 or equivalent enclosed). Do not provide credit card information on this form.		
Petition Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(f): Fee \$400 Fee Code 1462 For petitions filed under:		
§ 1.53(e) – to accord a filing date. § 1.57(a) – to accord a filing date.		
§ 1.182 – for decision on a question not specifically provided for.		
§ 1.183 – to suspend the rules. § 1.378(e) – for reconsideration of decision on petition refusing to accept delayed payment of maintenance fee in an expired patent.		
§ 1.741(b) – to accord a filing date to an application under § 1.740 for extension of a patent term. Petition Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(g): Fee \$200 Fee Code 1463		
For petitions filed under:		
§ 1.12 – for access to an assignment record. § 1.14 – for access to an application.		
§ 1.47 – for filing by other than all the inventors or a person not the inventor. § 1.59 – for expungement of information.		
§ 1.103(a) – to suspend action in an application. § 1.136(b) – for review of a request for extension of time when the provisions of section 1.136(a) are not available.		
§ 1.295 – for review of refusal to publish a statutory invention registration. § 1.296 – to withdraw a request for publication of a statutory invention registration filed on or after the date the notice of intent to publish issued.		
§ 1.377 – for review of decision refusing to accept and record payment of a maintenance fee filed prior to expiration of a patent.		
§ 1.550(c) – for patent owner requests for extension of time in <u>ex parte</u> reexamination proceedings. § 1.956 – for patent owner requests for extension of time in <u>inter partes</u> reexamination proceedings.		
§ 5.12 – for expedited handling of a foreign filing license. § 5.15 – for changing the scope of a license.		
§ 5.25 – for retroactive license. Petition Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(h): Fee \$130 Fee Code 1464		
Petition Fees under 37 CFR 1.17(h): Fee \$130 Fee Code 1464 For petitions filed under		
§ 1.19(g) – to request documents in a form other than that provided in this part. § 1.84 – for accepting color drawings or photographs.		
§ 1.91 – for entry of a model or exhibit. § 1.102(d) – to make an application special.		
§ 1.138(c) – to expressly abandog/an application to evoid publication.		
§ 1.313 – to withdraw an application from issue. § 1.314 – to defer issuance of a fatent.		
July 20, 2005		
Signature Date		
Lisa Swiszcz Hazzard 44,368		
Typed or printed name Registration No., if applicable		
O		
Certificate of Express Mailing Lhoroby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the LLS. Rectal Service as Express Mail. Airhill No. EV654386399US		

in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date

(Lakeisha Bryant)

shown below.

Dated: July 20, 2005